

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TWENTY-TWO STRATEGIC INVESTMENT FUND [IDENTIFIED IN EXHIBIT A]; and PRESIDIO GROWTH LLC (Tax Matters Partner). No C 05-2835 VRW ORDER

Petitioners,

V

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

Salmon Ventures, LLC ("Salmon Ventures"), a member of one of the petitioner Strategic Investment Funds ("SIFs"), filed a motion to intervene. Mot to Int (Doc #9). Neither the government nor any other party in this action has opposed the motion. For the reasons set forth below, Salmon Ventures' motion to intervene is GRANTED.

II

On June 27, 2005, the IRS mailed Notices of Final Partnership Administrative Adjustment ("FPAAs") to twenty-one of

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 the twenty-two SIFs involved in this action (the FPAA for the other
2 SIF, Logan Strategic Investment Fund, was mailed on April 28,
3 2005). Pet for Readj, Ex A (Doc #1-2). The FPAs claimed that
4 each SIF would face partnership administrative adjustments for its
5 taxable year ending in 2000, and that the SIFs would face
6 significant penalties. Answer (Doc #7) at 3:17-20. On July 12,
7 2005, Presidio Growth, LLC ("Presidio Growth"), which was the tax
8 matters partner for the SIFs, filed a consolidated petition that
9 challenged the FPAs on behalf of the SIFs. Pet for Readj.

10 During the taxable year ending in 2000, Salmon Ventures
11 was the sole Class B member of Jefferson Strategic Investment Fund,
12 LLC ("Jefferson LLC"), one of the SIFs that received an FPAA. Mot
13 to Int at 2:3-4. On October 3, 2005, Salmon Ventures filed a
14 motion to intervene or for leave to intervene in the SIFs' action
15 based on 26 USC (Internal Revenue Code) § 6226(c) and FRCP 24. Id.
16 The motion is unopposed.

II

19 After an FPAA is mailed, 26 USC § 6226 permits certain
20 partners to file a petition for readjustment of partnership items.
21 For the first ninety days after the FPAA is mailed, only the tax
22 matters partner may file the petition. 26 USC § 6226(a). If the
23 tax matters partner does not file a petition during this period,
24 any notice partner may file one within the following sixty days.
25 Id § 6226(b). Once a petition has been filed, "each person who was
26 a partner in such partnership at any time during [the taxable year
27 in question] shall be treated as a party to such action," and the
28 court "shall allow each such person to participate in the action."

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 Id § 6226(c).

2 Additionally, a person seeking to participate must have
3 an interest in the outcome. Id § 6226(d). That is, the person's
4 partnership items during the partnership's taxable year must not
5 have become nonpartnership items by reason of an event described in
6 26 USC § 6231(b), which mostly deals with issues of notice and
7 settlement. Id §§ 6226(d)(1)(A), 6231(b). In addition, the period
8 within which any tax attributable to such partnership items may be
9 assessed against that partner must not have expired. Id §
10 6226(d)(1)(B).

11 Accordingly, section 6226(c) grants a qualifying party a
12 right to intervene in the petition proceeding. There are two rules
13 governing the right to intervene by statute in federal court.
14 Under FRCP 24(a)(1), the court must allow intervention when the
15 motion to intervene is timely, and "when a statute of the United
16 States confers an unconditional right to intervene." Under Rule
17 24(b)(1), the court has discretion to allow intervention when the
18 motion is timely and "when a statute of the United States confers a
19 conditional right to intervene."

20

21

III

22 Salmon Ventures asserts it was a partner in Jefferson
23 LLC, one of the SIFs for whom Presidio Growth filed a petition,
24 during the taxable year at issue. Mot to Int at 2:3-14. Salmon
25 Ventures also alleges that it has an interest in the action because
26 its partnership items have not become nonpartnership items by
27 reason of an event described in section 6231(b), and because the
28 period for tax assessment has not expired. Id at 2:26-3:10. In

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 sum, Salmon Ventures has alleged facts sufficient to qualify for
2 intervention under section 6226(c).

3 Because section 6226(c) states that the court "shall"
4 allow a qualifying party to participate, the court does not have
5 discretion to deny intervention to a party who meets the statute's
6 requirements. Accordingly, Salmon Ventures has an unconditional
7 statutory right to intervene under FRCP 24(a)(1). Cf Brotherhood
8 of RR Trainmen v Baltimore & Ohio RR, 331 US 519, 530-31 (1947) (a
9 statute providing that a qualifying party "may" intervene conferred
10 an unconditional right of intervention).

11 Moreover, the court finds that Salmon Ventures' motion
12 for intervention is timely. In determining timeliness, courts
13 generally evaluate three factors: (1) the stage of the proceeding
14 at which an applicant seeks to intervene; (2) the prejudice to
15 other parties; and (3) the reason for and length of the delay.
16 United States v Oregon, 745 F 2d 550, 552 (9th Cir 1984). In
17 addition, courts must be more lenient in applying the timeliness
18 requirement where intervention is sought as a matter of right. Id.

19 In this case, Salmon Ventures could not file its own
20 readjustment petition for the first three months after the FPAAs
21 were mailed because that prerogative only belonged to the tax
22 matters partner, Presidio Growth, under 26 USC § 6226(a).
23 Moreover, Salmon Ventures could not later file a petition under 26
24 USC § 6226(b) because Presidio Growth had already filed one on July
25 12, 2005. Hence, Salmon Ventures sought to intervene by filing
26 this motion, which it did within three months of Presidio Growth's
27 petition. Because this proceeding is still at a relatively early
28 stage, the other parties have not raised any claims of prejudice,

1 and there has not been a lengthy delay, Salmon Ventures is entitled
2 to intervene in this proceeding under FRCP 24(a)(1).
3

4 IV
5

6 For the reasons stated above, Salmon Ventures' motion to
7 intervene is GRANTED. This order does not affect the stay granted
in this case on November 7, 2005. Doc #34 of C-04-4309 VRW.
8

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.
10

11 
12 VAUGHN R WALKER
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28